

How to determine experimentally the K^+ nucleus potential and the K^+ N rescattering cross section in a hadronic environment?

Ch. Hartnack¹ A. Sood¹ H. Oeschler² and J. Aichelin¹

¹*SUBATECH, Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et des Technologies Associées
University of Nantes - IN2P3/CNRS - Ecole des Mines de Nantes
4 rue Alfred Kastler, F-44072 Nantes, Cedex 03, France*

²*Institut für Kernphysik,
Darmstadt University of Technology,
D-64298 Darmstadt, Germany*

(Dated: December 17, 2010)

Comparing K^+ spectra at low transverse momenta for different symmetric collision systems at beam energies around 1 A GeV allows for a direct determination of both the strength of the K^+ nucleus potential as well as of the K^+N rescattering cross section in a hadronic environment. Other little known or unknown quantities which enter the K^+ dynamics, like the production cross sections of K^+ mesons or the hadronic equation of state, do not spoil this signal as they cancel by using ratios of spectra. This procedure is based on transport model calculations using the Isospin Quantum Molecular Dynamics (IQMD) model which describes the available data quantitatively.

PACS numbers:

The change of the properties of mesons in dense hadronic matter has theoretically been investigated since many years [1] and an experimental verification is still missing. The $t\rho$ approximation allows predicting the optical potential of the mesons in low-densities matter by experimentally measured phase shifts. At higher densities more sophisticated approaches have to be employed and in the last two decades many efforts have been made to investigate the properties of ρ , ω , K^+ and K^- mesons in matter [1–4]. These calculations are complex because most of the mesons can form baryonic resonances which have other decay branches. Therefore, coupled-channels calculations have to be employed and the challenge has been met to calculate them self-consistently. Nevertheless, the theoretical predictions launched by different groups differ substantially because several of the quantities which enter such calculations, like in-medium coupling constants and the in-medium dressing of the different particles are only poorly known. These uncertainties render the theoretical prediction rather vague and it is highly desirably to have experimental information of properties of mesons around and above normal nuclear matter densities.

It is the purpose of this Letter to show that one observable can be identified which allow for the determination of the desired quantities by experiment for one of the mesons, the K^+ . This meson is for several reasons the ideal candidate to start with. First of all, all nuclear matter calculations show that K^+ behaves in medium as a quasi particle and can therefore be propagated like particles in simulation programs. Second, the K^+ , having a valence $\bar{s}$ quark, does not form baryonic resonances, and hence, its interaction with the medium is relatively simple.

The change of the energy of a K^+ , $\omega(\mathbf{k}, \rho)$, with mo-

mentum $\mathbf{k}$ and at a density ρ [5, 6],

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}, \rho) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{\Sigma}_v)^2 + m^2 + m\Sigma_s \pm \Sigma_v^0} \quad (1)$$

depends on the a scalar self energy Σ_s and a vector self energy $(\Sigma_v^0, \mathbf{\Sigma}_v)$. The scalar potential Σ_s is related to the σ field which itself is related in a non-linear way to the scalar density ρ_s . The vector potential is related to the baryon density ρ_B . For details we refer to Ref. [6]. Knowing $\omega(\mathbf{k}, \rho)$, one can describe the “mass” of the K^+ and its variation in a nuclear environment $\omega(\mathbf{k} = 0, \rho)$. Korpa and Lutz [3] have calculated this quantity using a self-consistent Bethe-Salpeter equation. The result of this approach is very similar of that of the mean field approach [5, 6] and yields the linear relation

$$\omega(\mathbf{k} = 0, \rho) = m_{K^+}(\rho) = m_{K^+}(\rho = 0) (1 + \alpha_{K^+} \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}) \quad (2)$$

with $\alpha_{K^+} = 0.08$.

In a nuclear environment, the properties of the observed K^+ is not only determined by the K^+ nucleus potential but also by K^+ N collisions. In free space the cross section for this interaction, σ_{K^+p} , is about 12 mb, and similar for σ_{K^+n} , if one includes the charge-exchange interaction. How this cross section is modified in the medium is unknown.

The principal problem for extracting information on in-medium properties of the K^+ from data measured in heavy-ion collisions is the fact that almost all observables depend simultaneously on both, $\omega(\mathbf{k} = 0, \rho)$ and $\sigma_{K^+N}^{\text{medium}}$. The situation becomes even more complicated because the K^+ are mostly produced by ΔN collisions with an experimentally not accessible cross section. Furthermore, the life time of the Δ in medium is not known. Different values for these little known quantities can lead to very similar values of the K^+ observables because the effects

compensate. For example, lowering of the in-medium K^+ mass, Eq. (2), has to a large extent the same effect as the lowering of the in-medium $N\Delta$ cross section. This has created quite a confusion in the past.

Studies have been made to extract the K^+ nucleus potential from K^+ spectra observed in πA reactions [7]. Pions are absorbed close to the surface and therefore the K^+ observed in these reactions come from regions with a moderate density where the K^+ nucleus potential is weak. In addition, the uncertainty of the involved $BB \rightarrow K^+$ YN cross sections, where B stands for baryons and Y for hyperons, and of the resonant $\pi N \rightarrow K^+ X$ cross sections do not cancel exactly in the ratio of cross sections, when plotted as a function of the momentum. Consequently, changes in the potential can modify the results in a similar way than changes of the cross sections. Therefore one has to wait until this approach has been proven as robust against variation of the little known input parameters as far as the determination of the potential parameters is concerned.

Extensive studies of the different K^+ observables have revealed that *one* K^+ observable can be identified, which does not depend simultaneously on several of these little known quantities. This is the ratio of the momentum spectra at small transverse momentum measured for symmetric systems of different sizes. We show that this observable allows for the determination of the “in-medium mass”, $\omega(\mathbf{k} = 0, \rho)$, if the ratio is measured for a light and a medium mass symmetric system. It allows as well for the determination of the in-medium KN scattering cross section, $\sigma_{K^+N}^{\text{medium}}$, if the ratio is measured for symmetric medium mass and heavy systems. Using ratios of the spectra many of the above mentioned uncertainties cancel or are minimized.

These results have been obtained with the IQMD program, an event generator which simulates heavy-ion reactions from the initial separation of projectile and target up to the final distribution of fragments, nucleons and mesons. The details of IQMD program, especially how strange particles are produced and propagated in this approach have been extensively described in Ref. [8, 9]. In the standard set up, which is used for this studies if not otherwise mentioned, we employ a momentum-dependent soft hadronic equation of state [9, 10], the $NN \rightarrow NN \rightarrow K^+$ cross section of Sibirtsev [11] and the $NN \rightarrow N\Delta \rightarrow K^+$ cross section of Tsushima et al. [12, 13].

A necessary prerequisite for such studies is the successful description of the experimentally measured K^+ spectra. Figure 1 shows the spectrum of K^+ mesons as a function of the energy in the center of mass for C+C and Au+Au collisions at 1.0 A GeV. The experimental results [14] are compared with IQMD calculation using the standard setup ($\sigma^{\text{free}}(K^+N \rightarrow K^+N) = \sigma^{\text{medium}}(K^+N \rightarrow K^+N)$, $\alpha_{K^+} = 0.08$) of these calculations. The absolute yield as well as the form of the spectra are well described by our simulation program [9].

The basis for the possibility to extract both, $\sigma^{\text{medium}}(K^+N \rightarrow K^+N)$ and α_{K^+} , from the same observ-

able is the density profile in symmetric systems of different size, shown in Fig. 2. The upper panel shows the time evolution of the central density of the system obtained in symmetric reactions of Au+Au (dashed line), Ar+Ar (full line) and C+C (dotted line) at an incident energy of 1.25 A GeV. The maximum density reached in the system increases strongly when going from C+C to Ar+Ar but changes only moderately when continuing to Au+Au. However, the duration of the high-density phase increases strongly when comparing Ar+Ar and Au+Au. The lower panel refines this analysis by presenting the maximum value of the central density (full line) as a function of the projectile mass number A in symmetric reactions $A + A$ at 1.25 A GeV incident energy. The density rises strongly up to about $A = 40$ and then only slightly for the higher masses. A similar conclusion can be drawn when looking on the mean density at the production points of kaons (dotted line). These densities enter directly into the K^+ nucleus potential and have an important influence on the production yield of the kaons. Again, the yield rises up to $A = 40$ and then saturates at higher masses. In contrast, the mean number of collisions $N_C(K)$ which a kaon suffers before leaving the system (dashed line), rises quite moderately with A up to $A = 40$ and then increases much stronger for heavier systems. Therefore, the large mass region is the realm for measuring $\sigma^{\text{medium}}(K^+N \rightarrow K^+N)$. Below projectiles of mass 40 we see a strong increase of the densities with system size but few rescattering collisions because the systems are so small that rescattering does not become important. This is the realm for measuring α_{K^+} .

Figure 3 shows the result of our calculations. It presents the ratio of the transverse momentum spectra




FIG. 1: Inclusive spectra of K^+ in reactions of Au+Au and C+C at 1 A GeV incident energy taken at $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 44^\circ$ in comparison to IQMD calculations [9].

close to midrapidity, obtained in Ar+Ar and C+C collisions (left) and Au+Au and Ar+Ar collisions (right). The top panels show the influence of the rescattering cross section. The free KN rescattering cross section has been multiplied by a coefficient between 0.5 and 2 while leaving all the other parameter unchanged. The bottom panel displays the variation of this ratio with the change of the strength of the K^+ nucleus potential by applying a factor α to the potential constants Σ_s , Σ_v and Σ_v^0 of Eq. (1). As expected from the discussion above, the ratio of the yields for the two smaller systems is almost independent of the rescattering cross section but depends strongly on the strength of the K^+ nucleus potential. Rescattering is not very frequent in these light systems, therefore its influence on the spectrum is moderate. The K^+ nucleus potential, in the contrary, has a




FIG. 2: Top: Central density obtained in different symmetric $A + A$ systems at 1.25 A GeV as a function of time. Bottom: Maximum of the central density and of the average density where kaons are produced, as well as of the average number of rescattering collisions of a kaon, $N_C(K)$, in the medium as a function of the projectile mass A in symmetric systems $A + A$ at 1.25 A GeV incident energy.




FIG. 3: Ratio of transverse momentum spectra for symmetric systems of different sizes as a function of the $K^+ N$ elastic rescattering cross section (top) and of the K^+ nucleus potential strength (bottom). On the left hand side we display this ratio for C+C and Ar+Ar, on the right hand side for Au+Au and Ar+Ar.

direct influence on the spectra, as shown in the lower panels. The maximal density as well as the density profile is different for the both systems and due to this difference the K^+ nucleus potential acts differently. Whereas in C+C even the central density does not exceed much the normal nuclear matter density, in the Ar+Ar system the densities exceeds already twice normal nuclear matter density. By comparing the lighter systems one cannot learn much on the rescattering cross section but the spectra becomes sensitive to the strength of the K^+ nucleus potential. The slope of the ratio is a direct measure of this strength and present-day experiments are sufficiently precise to extract the strength of the potential.

If one compares the two heavier systems (right panel of Fig. 3), a completely different scenario emerges. Rescattering becomes very important in the Au+Au reactions where almost all K^+ undergo rescattering. Therefore, the influence of the rescattering cross section on the spectra is very visible as shown in the upper right panel. On the other side, the ratio is hardly influenced by the strength of the K^+ nucleus potential because the density at which the K^+ have their last collisional interaction with the surrounding nucleons is rather similar and therefore, the K^+ nucleus potential acts in a very similar way on the K^+ , leaving the ratio unchanged.

Before robust conclusions can be drawn from this ob-




FIG. 4: Ratio of transverse momentum spectra for symmetric systems of different sizes as a function of different K^+ production cross sections (top) and of the nuclear equation of state (bottom). On the left hand side we display this ratio for C+C and Ar+Ar, on the right hand side for Au+Au and Ar+Ar.

servation it is necessary to verify that the other little known input quantities do not spoil this result. In Fig. 4 we display how other input quantities might influence the ratio of the spectra. In the top panels the influence of the K^+ production cross sections and in the bottom

panels that of the hadronic equation of state is shown. Replacing the standard $N\Delta \rightarrow NNK^+$ cross section by an isospin corrected $N\Delta \rightarrow NNK^+$ cross section [15] or replacing the standard $NN \rightarrow NNK^+$ cross section by the parametrization of Tsushima et al. [12, 13], in which the final state interaction is not eliminated, changes only the absolute value of the ratio of the spectra but does not harm the slope of the ratio as a function of p_t . The same is true if one replaces the standard soft hadronic equation of state by a hard equation of state, although a recent analysis showed that a hard equation of state cannot be reconciled with the experimental data [10]. Thus other little known or unknown input quantities do not render our conclusions useless.

Using the IQMD transport model which has shown to successfully described the production of K^+ in the range of incident energies around 1 - 2 A GeV, we have presented that the ratio of transverse momentum spectra from C+C, Ar+Ar and Au+Au collisions can be used to extract both the “in-medium” mass of the K^+ and its rescattering cross section. The ratio of the medium-mass collision system and the light one is essentially sensitive to the KN potential, while the ratio of the heavy and the medium-mass system yield the scattering cross section. The reason is the density reached in these collisions: It rises strongly from the light to the medium-mass system and then only very little up to the heavy system. The number of scatterings of the K^+ , however, continues to rise with A .

By comparing both ratios, it is possible to determine the K^+N rescattering cross section as well as the K^+ nucleus potential experimentally. This opens the way to study experimentally the properties of K^+ in a nuclear environment in a direct way and therefore, to solve one of the important outstanding questions in hadronic matter physics.

-
- [1] F. Eichstädt, S. Leupold, U. Mosel and P. Mühlich, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **168**, 495 (2007) [arXiv:0704.0154 [nucl-th]].
 - [2] F. Riek, R. Rapp, Y. Oh and T. S. Lee, arXiv:1003.0910 [nucl-th].
 - [3] C. L. Korpa and M. F. M. Lutz, Acta Phys. Hung. A **22** (2005) 21 [arXiv:nucl-th/0404088].
 - [4] L. Tolos, A. Ramos and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 015203 (2006) [arXiv:nucl-th/0603033].
 - [5] J. Schaffner-Bielich, J. Phys. G **27**, 337 (2001) [arXiv:nucl-th/0009083].
 - [6] J. Schaffner, J. Bondorf and I. N. Mishustin, Nucl. Phys. A **625**, 325 (1997) [arXiv:nucl-th/9607058].
 - [7] M. L. Benabderrahmane *et al.* [FOPI Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 182501 [arXiv:0807.3361 [nucl-ex]].
 - [8] C. Hartnack, R. K. Puri, J. Aichelin, J. Konopka, S. A. Bass, H. Stoecker and W. Greiner Eur. Phys. J. A **1**, 151 (1998) [arXiv:nucl-th/9811015].
 - [9] Ch. Hartnack, H. Oeschler, Y. Leifels and J. Aichelin, accepted Phys. Rep.
 - [10] C. Hartnack, H. Oeschler and J. Aichelin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 012302 (2006) [arXiv:nucl-th/0506087].
 - [11] A. Sibirtsev, Phys. Lett. B **359**, 29 (1995).
 - [12] K. Tsushima, A. Sibirtsev and A. W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 369 (1999) [Erratum-ibid. C **61**, 029903 (2000)] [arXiv:nucl-th/9801063].
 - [13] K. Tsushima, S. W. Huang and A. Faessler, Phys. Lett. B **337**, 245 (1994) [arXiv:nucl-th/9407021].
 - [14] A. Förster *et al.* [KaoS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **75**, 024906 (2007) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0701014].
 - [15] J. Randrup and C. M. Ko, Nucl. Phys. A **343**, 519 (1980) [Erratum-ibid. A **411**, 537 (1983)].